



bushfire&natural
HAZARDSCRC

THE UNCOMFORTABLE CONVERSATION: UNDERSTANDING VALUE THROUGH RISK OWNERSHIP

Celeste Young, Roger Jones

Victoria Institute of Strategic Economic Studies, Victoria University, Melbourne

© BUSHFIRE AND NATURAL HAZARDS CRC 2017




Australian Government
Department of Industry,
Innovation and Science

Business
Cooperative Research
Centres Programme

 **VICTORIA
UNIVERSITY**
MELBOURNE AUSTRALIA

WORKING FROM THE INSIDE OUT



The phases of implementation based research as a series of tasks, Young. C. (2016).

THE TEAM

Victoria University


- Roger Jones VU
- Celeste Young VU
- John Symons VU
- Peter Sheehan VU
- Bruce Rasmussen VU

End users

- Greg Christopher, EMV, Vic
- Chris Irvine, SES, Tas
- Ed Pikusa SAFECOM, SA
- Liam Fogarty DELWP, Vic
- Pauline Cole DCSI, SA
- Patrick Schell, RFS, NSW
- Michael McIterick – AGEM, Vic
- Gwynne Brennan –CFA, Vic

THE CHALLENGE

Risk Interconnection Map



WHAT IS A RISK OWNER



We combined two definitions:

- Whoever **owns the assets** owns the risk (Productivity Commission 2014)
- Person or entity with the accountability and authority to **manage a risk** (ISO 31000)

THE CHALLENGE



Tangible and intangible values:
Social, economic,
environmental, and built
environment.

Different value levels

- Institutional
- Group – community,
organisational
- Individual

THE CHALLENGE



What we value “is not a logical process because value is not just about cost, it is also what we as individuals and communities hold precious to us”


Participant Beyond the Mean workshop 2012

CURRENT RISK ASSESSMENT AND PLANNING



THE CHALLENGE

Risk ownership is the one constant in a highly changeable risk landscape. Where there is a risk there needs to be an owner.




Projected resource requirements for effective integrated natural hazard management tasks across time scales (Young et al 2015. Adapted from AEMI 2011)

WHAT WE DID




THE AIM OF THE FRAMEWORK




Institutional allocation of risk ownership, Young et al (2015)


THE KEY CONCEPTS




VALUES LED COMPANION PROCESS



INTEGRATING IT INTO THE ASSESSMENT PROCESS




HOW IT IS ALLOCATED




Activity allocations natural hazard management, Young et al (2015)

THE CHOICES WE MAKE




Components of decision making for Natural Hazards, Young et al (2015)

INTERNAL VALUES



Values landscape, Common Cause Handbook, Public interest Research Centre (2012)

MAPPING OWNERSHIP ACROSS TIME



THE UNCOMFORTABLE CONVERSATION



“People don’t value what they don’t understand, and I think some values and risks get dismissed because they are seen as too much hard work.”

Victorian Workshop Participant 2016

THANK YOU

Celeste Young

celeste.young@vu.edu.au

Roger Jones

Roger.jones@vu.edu.au

Greg Christopher EMV

Greg.Christopher@emv.vic.gov.au